Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

In re:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Affects:

1 Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649 E-FILED on March 2, 2007 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 2 36 South State Street, Suite 1400 P.O. Box 45385 3 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385 Telephone: (801) 532-1500 4 Facsimile: (801) 532-7543 5 Email: ajarvis@rqn.com 6 Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 7 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308 9 Telephone: (702) 228-7590 Facsimile: (702) 892-0122 10 E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com 11 Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 13

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor. USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor. In re: USA SECURITIES, LLC,

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS OF ROBERT C. **LEPOME**

Date: March 15, 2007 Time: 9:30 a.m. PST

☒ All Debtors ☐ USA Commercial Mortgage Company □ USA Securities, LLC ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

Debtor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

USA Commercial Mortgage Company, USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC, USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC and USA Securities, LLC (collectively the "Debtors") in the above captioned jointly administered cases, hereby object to the Application for Attorney Fees and Costs (the "Application") filed by Robert C. LePome ("LePome") [Docket No. 2816]. LePome seeks compensation from the bankruptcy estate for services rendered in the amount of \$173,512.50 and the reimbursement of costs in the amount of \$3,671.70 "based upon services rendered by [LePome] for several Direct Lenders...." Application p. 2. The only legal authority LePome cites in support of his Application is 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(4). However, LePome does not qualify for reimbursement of its attorney fees and expenses under the Bankruptcy Code. For the reasons set forth below, the Court should deny the Application.

ARGUMENT

I. LEPOME WAS NOT EMPLOYED UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 327 AND IS NOT ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION UNDER 11 U.S.C. §330

The Bankruptcy Code allows for "a professional person employed under section 327 or 1103" to be awarded "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered...; and reimbursement for actual, necessary expenses" 11 U.S.C. §330. It is undisputed that LePome is not entitled to compensation under Section 330 because LePome was never employed under Section 327 or 1103.

LEPOME IS NOT ENTITLED TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM UNDER 11 II. U.S.C §503(b)(4)

Unable to request fees under 11 U.S.C. §330, LePome turns to 11 U.S.C. §503(b)(4). "Section 503(b)(4) provides administrative expense status for the cost of certain professional services incurred by an entity whose expenses are allowable under section 503(b)(3)." 4 Collier on Bankruptcy §503.11 (15th ed. 2006). However, an "administrative expense priority is available under section 503(b)(4) only to an entity whose expenses are allowable under section 503(b)(3).... An entity cannot seek reimbursement of professional fees under section 503(b)(4) unless it has previously qualified or concurrently qualifies for reimbursement of expenses under section

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

503(b)(3)." <u>Id.</u> at §503.11[2]. Thus, attorney fees may be compensated only in if the services
were rendered by an attorney that represents one of the types of entities described in section
503(b)(3). See, e.g., In re Gurley, 235 B.R. 626, 635 (Bankr. W.D. Tenn. 1999) (explaining that
the "ability to recover attorney fees and expenses logically depends on whether the fees have been
incurred by an entity who falls into one of the categories established in section $503(b)(3)$ ");
Lebron v. Mechem Financial (In re Lebron), 27 F.3d 937, 943 (3d Cir. 1994) (stating that
"§503(b)(4) authorizes awards of legal and accounting fees only in the situations coming within
the scope of §503(b)(3)").

LePome has made no showing, nor could he, that either he or any party he represents qualified for an administrative expense claim under 11 U.S.C. §503(b)(3). Neither LePome nor any of his clients have filed an involuntary petition (see §503(b)(3)(A)); recovered transferred or concealed property for the benefit of the estate (see §503(b)(3)(B)); assisted in the prosecution of a criminal offense relating to the Debtors (see §503(b)(3)(C)); made a substantial contribution to the case (see $\S503(b)(3)(D)$); is a custodian (see $\S503(b)(3)(E)$); or is a member of an official committee and incurred expenses in connection with that committee service (see §503(b)(e)(F)).

III. LEPOME HAS NOT MADE ANY SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION

Although not clearly articulated in the Application, LePome alludes to a possible substantial contribution claim as the basis for his Application. The Ninth Circuit

has stated that the principal test of substantial contribution is "the extent of benefit to the estate." In re Christian Life Ctr., 821 F.2d at 1373; see also Pierson & Gaylen v. Creel & Atwood (In re Consol. Bancshares, Inc.), 785 F.2d 1249, 1253 (5th Cir. 1986) (reaffirming that "services which substantially contribute to a case are those which foster and enhance, rather than retard or interrupt the progress off reorganization").

Cellular 101, Inc. v. Channel Comm., Inc. (In re Cellular 101, Inc.), 377 F.3d 1092, 1096 (9th Cir. 2004). Therefore, in assessing whether there has been substantial contribution courts should look to the benefit that was conferred upon the estate. However, LePome has made no showing that his efforts have fostered or enhanced the reorganization process. Rather than a substantial contribution to the case, the various positions taken by LePome for his clients in this case have retarded and interrupted the reorganization process, and have resulted in the estates incurring

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

substantial unnecessary costs that did not benefit the Debtors' estates in any way.

IV. LEPOME CANNOT REQUEST COMPENSATION ON ITS OWN BEHALF

The Application states that "Robert C. LePome, Esq., ... moves the Court" to allow the requested attorneys fees and costs under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(4). Application, p. 2. The Application does not state that LePome's clients are seeking to be reimbursed for their expenses and fees paid to LePome. A "professional is entitled to look only to its client for payment and not to the estate.... The right to compensation, therefore, belongs to the client and not to the professional." 4 Collier on Bankruptcy §503.11[4] (15th ed. 2006). LePome's clients have made no request reimbursement under 11 U.S.C. §503(b), and would have no basis for doing so in any event. Therefore, the Application should be denied.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court deny the Application in its entirety. LePome is not entitled under the Bankruptcy Code to reimbursement of his expenses or compensation for his services rendered to his clients, and has in any case failed to provide any substantial contribution to this case. The Debtors further request that the Court grant such other relief as is just and proper.

DATED this 2nd day of March, 2007.

/s/ Jeanette E. McPherson Lenard E. Schwartzer, Nevada Bar No. 0399 Jeanette E. McPherson, Nevada Bar No. 5423 SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM 2850 South Jones Blvd., Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Annette W. Jarvis (Utah Bar No. 1649) RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C. 36 South State Street, 14th Floor P.O. Box 45385 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385 Email: ajarvis@rqn.com

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	1.	On March 2,	2007 I	served t	the foll	owing	documento	(\mathbf{s})):
--	----	-------------	--------	----------	----------	-------	-----------	----------------	----

DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND **COSTS OF ROBERT C. LEPOME**

2. I served the above-named document(s) by the following means to the persons as listed below:

× By ECF System: a.

ROBERT C. LEPOME rlepome@cox.net, smstanton@cox.net

× b. By United States mail, postage fully prepaid:

Robert LePome, Esq. 330 S. Third Street, #1100B Las Vegas, NV 89101

By Personal Service c.

I personally delivered the document(s) to the persons at these addresses:

For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made by handing the document(s) to the attorney or by leaving the document(s) at the attorney's office with a clerk or other person in charge, or if no one is in charge by leaving the document(s) in a conspicuous place in the office.

For a party, delivery was made by handing the document(s) to the party or by leaving the document(s) at the person's dwelling house or usual place of abode with someone of suitable age and discretion residing there.

d. By direct email (as opposed to through the ECF System)

Based upon the written agreement to accept service by email or a court order, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the persons at the email addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

e. By fax transmission

Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission or a court order, I faxed the document(s) to the persons at the fax numbers listed below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the record of the fax transmission is attached.

f. By messenger

I served the document(s) by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below and providing them to a messenger for service.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

26 Signed on: March 2, 2007

> LIA DORSEY /s/ LIA DORSEY
> (Signature of Declarant) (Name of Declarant)